



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/733,553

12/11/2003

Wai T. Lam

34826-1014

7752

7590
Kaye Scholer LLP
425 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022-3598

03/17/2008

EXAMINER

PATEL, HETUL B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2186

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/733,553	Applicant(s) LAM ET AL.	
	Examiner HETUL PATEL	Art Unit 2186	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HETUL PATEL. (3)_____.

(2) Janathan Tyler (Reg. No: 52,308). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 07 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Klein et al. (USPN: 5,873,101).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During phone conversation, Attorney presented the proposed amendment to claim 1 and pointed out how it overcomes the Klein prior art. Examiner suggested Attorney to submit the amendment to USPTO in the next official response. Examiner would have to do further search/consideration to determine the patentability of the claim(s). No agreement was reached during this phone conversation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hetul Patel/
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2186

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required